

# Patient Centered Care – The Real Purpose of Meaningful Use

Paul Kleeberg, MD, FAAFP, FHIMSS  
CMIO, Stratis Health  
Clinical Director, REACH

**HIMSS**  
transforming healthcare through IT™



# Conflict of Interest

- Dr. Kleeberg is the Clinical Director for the Minnesota - North Dakota Regional Extension Assistance Center for HIT (REACH) – An ONC REC
- Dr Kleeberg also serves on the Physician Advisory Board for Elsevier
- No other conflict of interest

# Objectives

- Know why there is urgency to implement EHRs
- Be able to identify reasons why patient engagement is important
- Understand how effective patient engagement leads to better health and better health care
- Be able to identify the ways meaningful use fosters patient engagement
- Leave with a vision of how to begin engaging and empowering the lives in your care


# Outline

- **Review Why**
- Evidence for EHRs
- Meaningful use driving adoption
- The big deal about patient engagement
- Meaningful use and patient engagement
- So how do we do this?


# Spending and Life Expectancy 1976




# Spending and Life Expectancy 1986



# Spending and Life Expectancy 1996




# Spending and Life Expectancy 2006






# Spending and Life Expectancy 2011



# Medical costs for a family of four >doubled in 10 years


The proportion of U.S. workers covered by employer health insurance fell from 69% in 2010 to 61% in 2012.

Covered workers are also facing more complex CDHP financial arrangements along with clinical decision making responsibilities.



# Growing incentives for wellness at the workplace: Addressing workers' bad health habits is #1 job for employer health benefit programs


Employers are allocating resources to wellness programs and population health management that targets both biometrics (e.g., lowering BMI) and specific health conditions such as diabetes and heart disease.



Source: *The Road Ahead: Shaping Health Care Strategy in a Post-Reform Environment*, Towers Watson and National Business Group on Health, March 2011

# Internet Adoption 1993-2013

*% of American adults (age 18+) who use the internet, over time. As of May 2013, 85% of adults use the internet.*



**Source:** Pew Research Surveys, 1995-May 2013.

**More:** <http://pewinternet.org/Trend-Data/Internet-Adoption.aspx>

# Who is Using the Internet?

<b>All Americans 18+ (n=2,252)</b>	<b>85%</b>
Men (n=1029)	86%
Women (n=1,223)	85%
<b>Race/ethnicity</b>	
White, Non-Hispanic (n=1,571)	85%
Black, Non-Hispanic (n=252)	85%
Hispanic (Eng and Spanish-speaking (n=249)	76%
<b>Age</b>	
18-29 (n=404)	97%
30-49 (n=577)	93%
50-64 (n=641)	83%
65+ (n=570)	56%

Source: Pew Research Center's Internet & American Life Project Spring Tracking Survey, April 17 – May 19, 2013. N=2,252 adults ages 18+. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish and on landline and cell phones. The margin of error for results based on all adults is +/- 2.3 percentage points.

<b>Education Attainment</b>	
No high school diploma (n=168)	59%
High school grad (n=630)	78%
Some college (n=588)	91%
College + (n=834)	96%
<b>Household Income</b>	
Less than \$30,000 (n=168)	59%
\$30,000 - \$49,999 (n=374)	88%
\$50,000 - \$74,999 (n=298)	94%
\$75,000+ (n=582)	96%
<b>Urbanity</b>	
Urban (n=763)	85%
Suburban (n=1,037)	86%
Rural (n=450)	80%

# Patients Want More Accessible, Coordinated, Well-Informed Care


Percent reporting it is very important/important that:	Total very important or important
You have easy access to your own medical records	94%
All your doctors have easy access to your medical records	96%
You have information about the quality of care provided by different doctors/hospitals	95%

Source: Commonwealth Fund Survey of Public Views of the U.S. Health Care System, 2008.

# Our needs are not being met

## Harris Interactive Poll 2012

■ Important or very important %  
■ My doctor already does this %



# Outline

- Review Why
- **Evidence for EHRs**
- Meaningful use driving adoption
- The big deal about patient engagement
- Meaningful use and patient engagement
- So how do we do this?



# Health Information Technology Impact on Quality, Efficiency and Cost (2006)

- Wu, Shinyi, et al. "Systematic review: impact of health information technology on quality, efficiency, and costs of medical care." *Annals of internal medicine* 144.10 (2006): 742-752.[↗](#)
- 257 studies met the inclusion criteria of which 25% were from 4 academic institutions with internally developed systems
  - Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston
  - LDS Hospital in Salt Lake City
  - Vanderbilt University Medical Center in Nashville
  - The Regenstrief Institute in Indianapolis
- Those 4 institutions (and only those 4) demonstrated
  - Benefits on quality:
    - Increased adherence to guideline-based care
    - Enhanced surveillance and monitoring
    - Decreased medication errors.
  - Benefit of improvement
    - Preventive health (DVT, pressure ulcers and post-op infections)
  - Efficiency benefit
    - Decreased utilization of care.


# EHRs: Problems with Commercial Installations (2005 – 2007)

- Han YY, Carcillo JA, Venkataraman ST, et al. Unexpected increased mortality after implementation of a commercially sold computerized physician order entry system. *Pediatrics*. 2005;116(6):1506–1512
  - The rapid implementation of a minimally modified, commercially available CPOE system in a pediatric critical care unit was associated with an **increase in mortality rate** for children admitted via interfacility transport over a 5-month period.
- Linder, Jeffrey A., et al. “Electronic health record use and the quality of ambulatory care in the United States.” *Archives of Internal Medicine* 167.13 (2007): 1400-1405.
  - Evaluated 50,000 patient records from over 1500 physician practices in 2003 and 2004 and found: “As implemented, EHRs were **not associated with better quality** ambulatory care.”
  - Acknowledged the positive information came from 4 “benchmark” institutions

# Local Customization of CPOE Improves Quality (2010 – 2012)

- Longhurst, Christopher A., et al. “Decrease in hospital-wide mortality rate after implementation of a commercially sold computerized physician order entry system.” *Pediatrics* 126.1 (2010): 14-21. [↗](#)
  - Pre and Post implementation of a locally modified CPOE and electronic nursing documentation system at quaternary care academic children's hospital demonstrated a monthly adjusted **mortality rate decreased by 20%**
- Bright, Tiffani J., et al. “Effect of clinical decision-support systems: a systematic review.” *Annals of internal medicine* 157.1 (2012): 29-43. [↗](#)
  - A review of 148 randomized, controlled trials of electronic CDSSs implemented in clinical settings, used at the point of care and reported either clinical, health care process, workload, relationship-centered, economic, or provider use outcomes.
  - Both commercially and locally developed clinical decision-support systems (CDSSs) showed statistical **significance in improved health care process measures** related to performing preventive services, ordering clinical studies and prescribing therapies across diverse settings.


# HealthPartner's Experience



# Outline


- Review Why
- Evidence for EHRs
- **Meaningful use driving adoption**
- The big deal about patient engagement
- Meaningful use and patient engagement
- So how do we do this?

# The Federal HITECH Act Framework



# ONC HIT Regional Extension Centers

- What is the project, its goals, and who are our partners in implementing it?
  - 62 HIT Regional Extension Centers nationwide
  - Have subsidies to assist primary care providers in small practices and hospitals with under 50 beds to achieve meaningful use
  - Regions do not overlap
  - Collaborate with other RECs via the ONCs HIT Resource Center
    - Communities of Practice
    - Sharing of toolkits and resources



# Adoption

- Hospitals:<sup>1</sup>
  - Having a basic EHR System
    - 9.4% in 2008 – 44.4% in 2012
  - 85% possessed a certified EHR in 2012
- Professionals<sup>2</sup>
  - Using any type of EHR:
    - 18% in 2001 => 78% in 2013
  - Owned a basic system:
    - 11% in 2006 => 48% in 2013



# Regional Extension Centers

- As of November 2013:
  - 137,000 of the nation's primary care providers were enrolled with a REC (nearly half)
  - Of these, more than 124,000 (90%) went live with an EHR
  - Of these, over 85,000 (69%) have demonstrated meaningful use

# Canada EMR Adoption Model<sup>SM</sup>

Stage	Cumulative Capabilities	2011 Q2	2013	
<b>Stage 7</b>	Complete EMR; CCD transactions to share data; Data warehousing; Data continuity with ED, ambulatory, OP	0.0%	0.0%	
<b>Stage 6</b>	Physician documentation (structured templates), full CDSS (variance & compliance), full R-PACS	0.5%	0.6%	
<b>Stage 5</b>	Closed loop medication administration	0.2%	0.2%	
<b>Stage 4</b>	CPOE, Clinical Decision Support (clinical protocols)	1.7%	3.8%	<b>+123%</b>
<b>Stage 3</b>	Nursing/clinical documentation (flow sheets), CDSS (error checking), PACS available outside radiology	33.2%	32.2%	<b>-3%</b>
<b>Stage 2</b>	CDR, Controlled Medical Vocabulary, CDS, may have Document Imaging, HIE capable	23.9%	29.1%	<b>+21%</b>
<b>Stage 1</b>	Ancillaries – Lab, Rad, Pharmacy – All Installed	12.2%	14.5%	<b>+19%</b>
<b>Stage 0</b>	All Three Ancillaries Not Installed	28.3%	19.8%	<b>-30%</b>

Data from HIMSS Analytics® Database © 2012 HIMSS Analytics

N = 639

N = 640

# US EMR Adoption Model<sup>SM</sup>

Stage	Cumulative Capabilities	2011 Q2	2013	
<b>Stage 7</b>	Complete EMR, CCD transactions to share data; Data warehousing; Data continuity with ED, ambulatory, OP	1.1%	2.9%	<b>+160%</b>
<b>Stage 6</b>	Physician documentation (structured templates), full CDSS (variance & compliance), full R-PACS	4.0%	12.5%	<b>+212%</b>
<b>Stage 5</b>	Closed loop medication administration	6.1%	22.0%	<b>+260%</b>
<b>Stage 4</b>	CPOE, Clinical Decision Support (clinical protocols)	12.3%	15.5%	
<b>Stage 3</b>	Nursing/clinical documentation (flow sheets), CDSS (error checking), PACS available outside radiology	46.3%	30.3%	
<b>Stage 2</b>	CDR, Controlled Medical Vocabulary, CDS, may have Document Imaging, HIE capable	13.7%	7.6%	<b>-45%</b>
<b>Stage 1</b>	Ancillaries – Lab, Rad, Pharmacy – All Installed	6.6%	3.3%	<b>-50%</b>
<b>Stage 0</b>	All Three Ancillaries Not Installed	10.0%	5.8%	<b>-42%</b>

Data from HIMSS Analytics® Database © 2012 HIMSS Analytics

N = 5439

N = 5458


# Outline

- Review Why
- Evidence for EHRs
- Meaningful use driving adoption
- **The big deal about patient engagement**
- Meaningful use and patient engagement
- So how do we do this?

# Why is this important?

- Patients at higher levels of activation had more positive experiences than patients at lower levels seeing the same clinician
  - Greene, Jessica, et al. "When Seeing The Same Physician, Highly Activated Patients Have Better Care Experiences Than Less Activated Patients." *Health Affairs* 32.7 (2013): 1299-1305.  
<http://content.healthaffairs.org/content/32/7/1299.short>
- Activated patients have better health outcomes

# Patient Activation Measure



- Criteria

- Believes active role is important
- Confidence and knowledge to take action
- Taking Action
- Staying the course under stress

Source: Hibbard, Judith H., et al. "Development of the Patient Activation Measure (PAM): conceptualizing and measuring activation in patients and consumers." *Health services research* 39.4p1 (2004): 1005-1026. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1361049/>

# PAM Scores as a Predictor


Study demonstrating PAM scores predict utilization and health outcomes two years into the future for diabetics

	% change for a 1 point change in PAM Score	Comparing a PAM Score of 70 (L4) vs. 50 (L2)	P
Hospitalization	1.7% decline	34% decreased likelihood of hospitalization	.03
Good A1c control (HgA1c < 8%)	1.8% gain	40% greater likelihood of good glycemic control	.01
A1c testing	3.4% gain	68% improvement in testing	.01
LDL-c testing			

Remmers, Carol Louise Guisinger. *The relationship between the patient activation measure, future health outcomes, and health care utilization among patients with diabetes*. Walden University, 2008.

Multivariate analysis which controlled for age group, gender, race, co-morbidities and number of diabetes-related prescriptions.

# Compliance ≠ Patient Engagement





# Benefits of Patient and Family Engagement and Hospital Performance

- Overall improvements in quality and safety
  - A new visitation policy to promote patient and family engagement, corresponded with a 62 percent reduction in medication errors, a 40 percent reduction in falls, and a 50 percent decrease in length of stay
- Improved patient outcomes
  - Emotional health, symptom resolution, pain control, physiological measures.
  - Reduction in preventable readmissions
- Improved CAHPS Hospital Survey scores
  - Engagement strategies have led to an increase in patient satisfaction scores from the 10<sup>th</sup> to the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile.
- Better responses to the Joint Commission
  - Standards for patients and families to be active and informed decision-makers

AHRQ Guide to Patient and Family Engagement in Hospital Quality and Safety  
<http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/systems/hospital/engagingfamilies/index.html>


# Benefits of Patient and Family Engagement and Hospital Performance

- Improved financial performance
  - Decreased litigation and malpractice claims
  - Lower costs per case due to complications
  - Improved patient flow
  - Less waste associated with higher call volume, repetitive patient education efforts, diagnostic tests
- Enhanced market share and competitive
  - Increase in new and returning patients by incorporating patient-and family-centered care into their business model
- Increased employee satisfaction and retention
  - A facility decreased the average nurse turnover rate (from 21 to 7 percent)

AHRQ Guide to Patient and Family Engagement in Hospital Quality and Safety  
<http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/systems/hospital/engagingfamilies/index.html>

# Part of Engaging Patients in Their Care

- Clinical summaries
- Patient-specific education resources
- Provide patients with electronic access to their health record
- Patient reminders for follow-up care
- Secure messages from patients



# Information for patients and the provider

- Electronic Exchange of Information
- Referral/Transfer of care summary
- Imaging results
- Labs as structured data



# Outline

- Review Why
- Evidence for EHRs
- Meaningful use driving adoption
- The big deal about patient engagement
- Meaningful use and patient engagement
- **So how do we do this?**

# But....It's Not Really a Technology Problem

- eAccess is very important
- eAccess is not enough
- A paradigm shift that begins with seeing the patient as an active partner will naturally result in granting access to records
- A mandate to provide access to records will not naturally result in a paradigm shift to seeing the patient as an active partner

# Keys to successful patient engagement strategies



## Convenience

- Meet them where they are
- Provide services that simplify their lives
- Make every interaction simple, seamless

## Connection

- with caregivers and friends
- with their doctors and care team
- with people like them

## Relevant & Timely Data

- about them
- about their diseases, conditions, drugs, tests
- that can help them make choices
- that can educate and encourage them

# HEALTH REFORM

## Actions to Build Capacity for the Triple Aim and Succeed in Multiple Payment Models

**ACTIONS TO BUILD THE FOUNDATION**

**ACTIONS TO BUILD RELATIONSHIPS, MANAGE POPULATIONS AND ADD VALUE**


**OUTCOMES**

Provide Visionary Leadership and Promote a Learning Culture

Embed Strong Organizational Change Skills Supported by Quality Improvement Methods

Redesign Care to Consistently Use Evidence-based or Best Practices

Establish an Enabling IT Platform with Interoperable EHR and Effective HIE



**Better Care**

**Better Health**

**Lower Cost**



# Resources

- HIMSS
  - <http://www.himss.org/library/patient-engagement-toolkit>
- Stratis Health/REACH
  - <http://www.khareach.org/patient-engagement-portal>
- AHRQ Guide to Patient Engagement for Hospitals
  - <http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/systems/hospital/engagingfamilies/index.html>

# Contact Information:

Paul Kleeberg, MD, FAAFP, FHIMSS

Email: [pkleeberg@stratishealth.org](mailto:pkleeberg@stratishealth.org)

Ph: 952-853-8552

**Stratis Health is a nonprofit organization that leads collaboration and innovation in health care quality and safety, and serves as a trusted expert in facilitating improvement for people and communities.**